

---

# BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP

---

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW  
500 12th Street, Suite 200, Oakland, CA 94607  
Telephone: (510) 663-1100 Facsimile: (510) 663-0920  
www.beyerlaw.com

OFFICIAL COMMUNICATION  
FACSIMILE  
TO THE ATTENTION OF:  
Examiner Kuo Liang J. Tang  
ART UNIT 2122

**FAX NO.: 571-273-3705**

Date: March 28, 2005

Sender: John F. Griffith

Our Ref No.: SUN1P802

Re: Application No. 09/841,759

Pages Including Cover Sheet(s): 3

**MESSAGE:**

Please see attached. We request a telephone interview on Thursday, March 31, 2005 at 2 pm EST.

---

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE**

The information contained in this facsimile (FAX) message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the receiver or firm named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended receiver, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this FAX is strictly prohibited. If you have received this FAX in error, please immediately notify the sender at the telephone number provided above and return the original message to the sender at the address above via the United States Postal Service. Thank you.

---

---

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

In re application of: PLUMMER, *et al.*

Attorney Docket No.: SUN1P802/P5257

Application No.: 09/841,759

Examiner: Tang, Kuo Liang J.

Filed: April 24, 2001

Group: 2122

Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR  
REWRITING BYTECODES TO MINIMIZE  
RUNTIME CHECKS

---

**CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile  
to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on March 28, 2005.Signed: 

Dilora Haddad

**APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVIEW REQUEST FORM**Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## Tentative Participants:

1) John F. Griffith  
3)2) Examiner Kuo Liang J. Tang  
4)

Proposed Date of Interview: 03/31/05

Proposed Time: 2pm EST.

## Type of Interview Requested:

☒ Telephone ☐ Personal ☐ Video ConferenceExhibit to be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, provide brief description:


**ISSUES TO BE DISCUSSED**

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc.)	Claims/ Fig, #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
1) Rejection of claims under 35 USC 103	Claim 1 Figure 2	Long and Seshadri	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF AGRUMENTS TO BE PRESENTED:**

Applicant agrees that Long shows a preloader in Fig. 9, col. 7:22-33; however, Long teaches rewriting code according to native endianness. Long does not show rewriting a bytecode to a new bytecode which indicates that at least one of the class and the superclass requires execution of the static initializer when it is determined that the bytecode makes the active reference to the class which requires the execution of the static initializer, as recited in claim 1.

\*Note: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP §713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFT 1.33(b)) as soon as possible.

  
John F. Griffith, Reg. No. 44,137

---

Examiner Kuo Liang J. Tang